

①

3

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (C.G.)WRIT PETITION NO. 1484/2005PETITIONER

:

Madhu Pandey Now Smt.

Madhu Tiwari, Wife of Shri
Dinesh Tiwari, aged about 40
years, Resident of Mata
Chowra, Kududand, Tahsil &
District Bilaspur (C.G.).

VERSUSRESPONDENTS

:

1. State of Chhattisgarh

Through: The Secretary,
Department of Education,
D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur,
(C.G.).

2. The Director, Lok Shikshan
Sanchalnalay, D.K.S.
Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).

3. The District Education
Officer, Bilaspur, District
Bilaspur (C.G.).

4. State of Madhya Pradesh,
through: The Secretary,
Department of Education,
Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal,
(M.P.).

5. The Secretary, General
Administration, D.K.S.
Bhawan, Raipur, (C.G.).

P.R. No. 1479/05
Presented by Shri P.P. Sahay
dated 06-04-05



RECEIVED
14/4/05
CHHATTISGARH HIGH COURT AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, 1950 FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE
NATURE OF CERTIORARI, MANDAMUS ETC. AND FOR
APPROPRIATE ORDER/ORDERS, DIRECTION/DIRECTIONS.

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक

W.P. 1484/

सन् 2005

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	19-4-2005 Mr. P.P. Sahu, counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Bhaskar Pyasi, Panel Lawyer for the State of Chhattisgarh. Heard both the counsel. The petitioner has preferred this writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, and her main grievance is that she was appointed as ad-hoc teacher on 30th August, 1983 vide Annexure P-1, and her services were terminated vide order dated 3rd December, 1983 (Annexure P-3). Thereafter, the petitioner made several representations vide Annexure P-5, but the respondents have not paid any heed to her representations. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that other similarly situated teachers have also moved the State Government, and they had been considered and appointment has been given, therefore, in the circumstances, the petitioner may also be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.3, to which, learned counsel for the State of Chhattisgarh has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.3 within ten days from today raising all her grievances, and in turn, respondent No.3 is directed to consider the representation made by the petitioner and decide the same within a period of three months from the date of the receipt of the representation in an objective manner after considering the grievances of the petitioner, in accordance with law. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.	

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge

Soma

21/4/05